



To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder/ClerkRec/COSLO@Wings,
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: District 1 - Contact Us (response #343)
From: Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO - Monday 10/27/2014 10:21 AM

Vicki M. (Shelby) Fogleman
Legislative Assistant for
First District Supervisor Frank R. Mecham
1055 Monterey St., D430
San Luis Obispo CA 93408
(805) 781-4491/FAX (805) 781-1350

email: vshelby@co.slo.ca.us

"Thinking a smile all the time will keep your face youthful" - Frank G. Burgess
"Wrinkles should merely indicate where smiles have been" - Mark Twain

----- Forwarded by Vicki Shelby/BOS/COSLO on 10/27/2014 10:20 AM -----

From: "Internet Webmaster" <webmaster@co.slo.ca.us>
To: "vshelby@co.slo.ca.us" <vshelby@co.slo.ca.us>
Date: 10/27/2014 10:16 AM
Subject: District 1 - Contact Us (response #343)

District 1 - Contact Us (response #343)

Survey Information

Site:	County of SLO
Page Title:	District 1 - Contact Us
URL:	http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/bos/District-1/District1ContactUs.htm
Submission Time/Date:	10/27/2014 10:15:38 AM

Survey Response

Name:	Dennis Loucks
Telephone Number:	
Email address:	dloucks1@hotmail.com
	Dear Supervisor Mecham. Regarding Urgency Ordinance 3246, my wife and I respectfully request that you not endorse Ordinance 3246 to permanent status. As a resident of the county with small, non-agriculture, acreage we are very concerned that if this ordinance becomes permanent our property rights will be severely impacted, effecting our "quite enjoyment" of the property. We do not oppose agriculture, but we do oppose agriculture that does not respect our county

Comments or
questions (8,192
characters max):

resources. When you discuss sustainable agriculture, just talk to the growers that have been in the county for decades. When they planted, they were aware of their water resources and planted accordingly. What we have now witnessed are new corporate landowners purchasing large parcels, planting and then drilling additional wells to sustain their new vineyards. They are not "good stewards" of the land. The other aspect of the new planting that is detrimental to our area is planting density. About 5 to 10 years ago, the average planting of vines was 780 vines to the acre. Today, it is not uncommon to see 2300-2400 vines per acre. The new owners are looking to maximize their investment at the expense of our county resources. We object to the Urgency Ordinance because it impacts our rights to improve our residential property, improvements to existing residential properties should not be included in this ordinance. As you aware there are significant legal challenges to this ordinance and we ask that you not continue with an ordinance that will further dwindle our county's financial resources. Thank you, Dennis & Sally Loucks